

# NAF-IRN

Natural Resources, Agricultural Development and Food Security  
INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH NETWORK

NAF

International Working Paper Series

---

Year 2016

paper n. 16/04

---

## The Impacts of High Grazing Pressure on Plants Species Diversity with Focusing on Native Forbs Species – Case Study of Dilling Locality - South Kordofan State – Sudan

**Galal Abas Fashir Kodeal**

*College of Forestry and Range Science  
Sudan University of Science and Technology  
fashergalal@yahoo.com*

**Elkheir Mugadam Salih**

*College of Forestry and Range Science  
Sudan University of Science and Technology*

**Mohammed Ibrahim Abdesalam**

*College of Forestry and Range Science  
Sudan University of Science and Technology*

The online version of this article can be found at:

<http://economia.unipv.it/naf/>

## **Scientific Board**

**Maria Sassi** (Editor) - University of Pavia

**Johann Kirsten** (Co-editor)- University of Pretoria

**Gero Carletto** - The World Bank

**Piero Conforti** - Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations

**Marco Cavalcante** - United Nations World Food Programme

**Gebrekirostos Gebreselassie** - Dire Dawa University

**Luc de Haese** - Gent University

**Stefano Farolfi** - Cirad - Joint Research Unit G-Eau University of Pretoria

**Ilaria Firmian** -IFAD

**Ayub N. Gitau** - University of Nairobi, Kenya

**Mohamed Babekir Elgali** – University of Gezira

**Belaineh Legesse** - Haramaya University

**Firmino G. Mucavele** - Universidade Eduardo Mondlane

**Michele Nardella** - International Cocoa Organization

**Bekele Tassew** - Ambo University

**Nick Vink** - University of Stellenbosch

**Alessandro Zanotta** - Delegation of the European Commission to Zambia

## **Technical Board**

**Nicola Martinelli** - University of Pavia

**Alessandra Perneti** - University of Pavia

Copyright @ Sassi Maria ed.

Pavia -IT

[naf@eco.unipv.it](mailto:naf@eco.unipv.it)

ISBN 978-88-96189-44-3

The impacts of high grazing pressure on plants species diversity with focusing on native forbs species – case study of Dilling locality – south Kordofan State – Sudan

**Galal Abas Fashir Kodeal**

*College of Forestry and Range Science  
Sudan University of Science and Technology  
fashergalal@yahoo.com*

**Elkheir Mugadam Salih**

*College of Forestry and Range Science  
Sudan University of Science and Technology*

**Mohammed Ibrahim Abdesalam**

*College of Forestry and Range Science  
Sudan University of Science and Technology*

**Abstract**

The study was conducted at EL Dilling locality grazing land at South Kordofan State. The objective of this study is to assess the impacts of high grazing pressure on plants species diversity and also focused on the common forbs species diversity in three different grazing sites. The rangeland was divided into three sites according to utilization degree. Three water points were selected randomly from 24 permanents water points. Three grazed sites were also selected randomly, while the un-grazed site was selected in the middle of two sites. The Parker loop method (Parker and Hiris, 1959) have been used, to measure relative plants composition and ground cover of the rangeland. 48 transects were delineated using 100 meter tape and a  $\frac{3}{4}$  loop placed at ground level at one meter intervals. Also the quadrat method (Wilm *et al*, 1944) was used to determine individual forbs plant frequency in three different grazing sites. The manual calculated using standard range measurements equations were used to analyzed data obtained, and the plants species diversity for two years at three different sites was observed. The species diversity for two years at three different sites was compared. The results showed significant variation in plants relative composition over the three sites. The study showed that the very sensitive forbs that considered being sensitive for grazing practice was very rare in both around water point and grazing sites. Also the results showed that there were high variations in plants

diversity within the three sites. The study concludes that high grazing pressure could change plants species composition and plants diversity.

---

**Key words:** plant composition, Plants frequency, plants diversity, forbs, palatable plants, unpalatable plants

## **1.Introduction**

It is widely acknowledged the livestock have a major impact on the rangeland vegetation composition and stability of grasslands and shrubs vegetation, if overexploitation by grazing animals, the response of plants to the intensity and frequency of livestock impacts in relation to environmental condition and the animals factors, which affects not only the intensity and frequency, but also the distributions of that impact. In most African countries, rangeland livestock production is a form of extensive grazing systems practiced by nomads of the arid and semi- arid regions, considering the demand for foodstuffs due to the growing human population, increasing livestock productivity gains importance particularly under harsh environmental condition in arid and semi – arid areas, (Kamau, 2004). Rangelands form an immense natural resource and the major of feed for national herd in Sudan. The various types of grazing land vary from open grasslands to seasonal water sources, flood plains, river banks, woodlands, hills and mountain slopes (Zaroug, 2006).

In South Kordofan pastoralist was the traditional mode of rangeland resources utilization, but the society is experiencing profound change throughout the last decades. These changes are visible through the regression of animal mobility and sedenreisation of the population, rangelands are subject to increasing pressure leading to their degradation. (Nefzaoui, 2004).Degradation of natural resources is the most serious problem facing the rangeland in the country. Animals are kept on the rangeland eight months. Also the prevailing drought conditions, involving shortage of forage and drinking water in most areas of rangeland, livestock are fed for most on open grazing land through the year. This system is based on the traditional opportunistic mobility, which balances availability of feed and water with aversion of wet-disease in infected areas. Livestock in depend mainly on rangeland vegetation. (Fashir, 2008).

The impact of grazing by domestic stock on plant communities has received considerable attention. Very heavy grazing results in a decline in the number of species; changes in vegetation also have an impact on soil properties, including soil fauna. (Howery and Sprinkle, 2006).

Grazing has also changed the abundance and distribution of grasses. Many species are only affected by very heavy grazing and some species are sensitive to grazing over a range land (Landsberg *et al* 1997). There is broad agreement that improper grazing can negatively impact various rangeland ecosystem functions and degrade ecosystem services (Belsky et al. 1999; Jackson and Bartolome 2007), specifically on annual rangeland. Rangelands are incredibly

dynamic ecosystems. Drastic changes can be observed among seasons within a year and among years and decades. There are many factors that cause rangelands to change over time one of them is grazing. These factors change the plants diversity on rangeland (University of Idaho, 2011). Grazing is a natural ecological process that occurs on all rangelands. Grazing can buffer changes in plant communities and species richness, even in the face of other environmental drivers such as climatic warming. (James, et al, 2014) Animals preferring some plants over others depending of existing plants communities. When herbivores focus their grazing attention on one plant, or group of plants, the un-grazed plants can express themselves sometime creating dramatic contrasts. Rangeland center university of Idaho (2011), reports that, Sheep grazing in Montana preferred the yellow forbs in this mountain and almost completely removed them from where they grazed. In the other side some plants are more tolerance to grazing process and other are not, Jaddalla, (1994), report that some forbs are very sensitive to grazing. In this study we assess the impacts of grazing pressure on plant species diversity and also focused on the common and rare forbs species diversity in three different grazing sites. We thought these types of study could be very importance in indentifying the open grazing management practices, are most consistent with the conservations of plants diversity in whole country.

## **2. Materials and Methods**

### **2.1 Study area:**

The study was conducted in EL Dilling locality rangeland at South Kordofan State which lies about 165km<sup>2</sup> South East EL Obied town during the years 2010 – 2011. The area lies approximately between latitudes 29°:00-32°:00East and longitudes 10°:00 12°: 00North. It covers an area about 135, 000Km<sup>2</sup>. The average elevation is 600m above sea level, (SKRDP-NKRDP, 2002). The climate of Dilling locality is semi- arid, rainfall is about 300mm – 800mm, the temperatures range from 42C° to 24C° in May and 31C° to 13c° in January(IFAD,2006).

### **2.2 Sampling:**

The rangeland in study area were divided into three sites according to utilization degree (a round water points, grazed sites and un-grazed site), three water points were selected randomly from 24 permanents water points. Three grazed sites were also selected randomly, while the un-grazed site was selected in middle of two sites. For vegetation measurement the Parker loop method (Parker and Hiris, 1959) have been used to measure relative plants composition, transects were

delineated using 100 meter tape and a  $\frac{3}{4}$  loop placed at ground level at one meter intervals. Record was made of whatever was encountered in the loop ( plants, litter, bare soil and rock), plants species were recorded based on life root crown covering 0.5 loop size each plant species were recorded by their scientific name used record sheet. In addition to quadrat method (Wilm et al, 1944) double sample procedure were used to determine the dominated palatable and unpalatable plant frequency were observed. Sampling was concluded by end of rainy season within two years using 1×1m quadrat on each of three study sites 72 quadrates within each sites were conducted.

### **2.3Plants relative composition**

The relative plants composition refer to the contribution of each individual plants species of the total plants percent when used parker loop method (Parker and Harri,1959). Measured observation along transect line will be usually plants species, litter, bare ground, rock and animals drop or belts. To calculate the relative plants species composition the following formula were used:-

$$\text{Relative plants composition} = \frac{\text{total hits of each plants specieses}}{\text{total hits of all plants}} \times \%100$$

### **2.4Frequency:**

Frequency is the percentage of total quadrates that contain at least one rooted individual of a given species. It is determined by recorded the species names which appear in quadrates. The frequencies were calculated by using the following formula:

$$\text{Species frequency} = \frac{\text{The number of quadrat containing the individual species}}{\text{The total number of quadrat taken}} \times \%100$$

### **2.5Data analysis**

The plants species attributes data were organized tabulated and analyzed using standard range measurements equations and the plants species diversity for two years at three different sites was observed.

### **3.Results and discussion**

#### **3.1Botanical composition:**

The result in table (1) shows the variation between a botanical compositions (%) in three sites within two seasons when use Parkar loop method. The plants composition showed a gradual change as one moved from the watering points to the grazing areas. The results revealed differences in plants diversity in three sites, that 22 plants species were found in grazed site and 18 plants species were found in around water points, while in un- grazed site, the vegetation condition is seemed to be healthy, where 27 different plants species have been found during vegetation measurement in two seasons. Table (1) also shows clear indicators of a high pressure of animals a round water points and grazed sites, have negative impacts of rangeland plants species composition, plans diversity, increased bare ground percent specially a round water points. The highest marked of some palatable grass species in grazed sites was not mean healthy range condition, because most of these grass are single stemmed with less foliage. While in un-grazed sites much defoliation was found. In addition to high frequent marked. The variation in plants species frequency around water points and grazed sites shows rather non significant differences. The same plants species were found in both sites and proximately same frequency marked, but in un-grazed plants species were rather different and marked highest frequency.

The result shows the clear indicator that grazing pressure has negative impacts on plants diversity especially on forbs species which seem to be very sensitive to heavy grazing practices. Moreover, high grazing pressure can lead to greater species diversity, Holechk, (2004). Repot that extensive grazing decline the abundance of palatable plants, changes in ground cover, palatable plants and ground cover have clearly been affected by the sustained and widespread increase in grazing pressure that has developed around artificial sources of water. The results also showed that the plant diversity in both around water points and grazing sites were dominated by grass types, this may be due to that the most palatable forbs species are not given enough rest to survive and invaders forbs plants like *Cassia tura*, *Acanthospermum hespidu* and *Oldenlandia herbacea* are developed, however, we belief that extensive livestock grazing practices in open rangeland could leads to the disappearance of the most palatable forbs species where they will be replaced by other herbaceous plants.



**Table (1) the variation between average individual botanical compositions (%) in three sites within two seasons**

Botanical lateen name	Botanical types	R. W.P site		Grazing site		Un-grazing site	
		Year 2010	Year 2011	Year 2010	Year 2011	Year 2010	Year 2011
<i>Oldenlandia herbacea</i>	Forbs	7	3.3	3	1.1	1.4	1
<i>Pennisetum pedicellatum</i>	Grass	2.1	1.5	5	3	2.1	2.1
<i>Eragrostis tremula</i>	Grass	25	20.7	27.7	19.2	9	8.2
<i>Aristida mutablis</i>	Grass	12	8.7	8.5	5	11.3	7.3
<i>Indigofra spp</i>	Forbs	3	2.1	1	2.1	3.4	00
<i>Schonfeidia gracilis</i>	Grass	17.9	13.9	40.5	33.1	5.9	6.6
<i>Jasminum nitidum</i>	Forbs	0.0	00	0.4	00	00	00
<i>Zornia glochidiata</i>	Forbs	3	1.9	1.5	4.7	00	00
<i>Dactylactinum aegyptioum</i>	Grass	7	4.8	3.5	11.6	6.1	4.9
<i>Cassia tora</i>	Forbs	13	16.1	3.9	0.9	0.0	0.0
<i>Acanthospermum hespidum</i>	Forbs	1	3.3	5.9	3	0.0	0.0
<i>Blepharis linariifolia</i>	Forbs	0.0	00	0.3	00	0.0	0.0
<i>Euphorbia hirtal</i>	Forbs	00	0.6	0.1	00	0.0	0.0
<i>Marettia philaeana</i>	Forbs	3.2	6.3	0.3	0.1	00	0.6
<i>Setaria pallidea fusea</i>	Grass	1	2.1	0.9	1.6	4.2	3
<i>Hygrophylla spinosa</i>	Forbs	0.5	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Impomea kordofana</i>	Forbs	0.5	0.5	0.1	0.2	12.3	8
<i>Echinocola colonum</i>	Grass	1.1	7.5	0.3	7.4	4.1	3.5
<i>Chloris gyana</i>	Grass	0.0	3.9	3.9	5.3	0.0	2.5
<i>Amaranthus grekans</i>	Forbs	0.0	1.3	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0
<i>Justicia kotschy</i>	Forbs	0.0	0.0	0.4	1.7	0.0	0.0
<i>Corchorus ditorius</i>	Forbs	1	0.5	0.0	0.0	1	2.4
<i>Xanthium brosilicum</i>	Forbs	0.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	00	00
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i>	Forbs	0.5	00	0.0	0.0	4	2.4

<i>Tribulus terrestris</i>	Forbs	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	00	00
<i>Sorghum purpureosercim</i>	Grass	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4	6
<i>Symbopogan nevratus</i>	Grass	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.5	14.7
<i>Hyparrhenia confinis</i>	Grass	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	4.1
<i>Demodium dichotomum</i>	Forbs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	4
<i>Rhynchosia minima</i>	Forbs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3	5.9
<i>Asteraceae hyperhernia ofrun</i>	Forbs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.3
<i>Cucumia dispaceors</i>	Forbs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	1.1
<i>Loranthus spp</i>	Forbs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	00
<i>Sesbania Arabic</i>	Forbs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	2.1
<i>Sorghum halepense</i>	Grass	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	00
<i>Ipomoea coptica</i>	Forbs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	2.1
<i>Farsetia grandiflora</i>	Forbs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	00
<i>Andropogon gayanyus</i>	Grass	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	4.2
<i>buffalo grass</i>	Grass	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.4	3.6
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>100 %</b>	<b>100 %</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

RWP= a round water points

### 3.2 Variation of native forbs species within three sites

The result in table (2) shows frequency variation at different range sites over two seasons. The result indicates that, the most plants species found around water points were grass type with unpalatable forbs such as *Cassia tura*, *Oldenlandia herbacea*, *Euphorbia hirtal*, , *Xanthium brosilicum*, *Marettia philaeana*, *Jasminum nitidum*, , *Acanthospermum hespidum*, *Amaranthus grecans*, *Marettia philaeana* the same forbs plants were found in grazed sites as indicated by table (3). There was a reduction in palatable forbs plants species frequency in both around water points and grazed sites. These may attribute to extensive and high grazing pressure. In fact the plant species especially palatable forbs plants were subjected to intensive selection by grazing animals. Moreover, much forbs species like *Demodium dichotomum*, *Asteraceae hyperhernia ofrun*, *Impomea kordofana*, *Ipomoea coptica* and *Rhynchosia minima* were considered to be very sensitive to animals grazing. On the other hand the native tall grass species like *Sorghum*

*purpureosercim*, *Symbopogan nevratus*, *Andropogon gayanyus*, and *Pennisetum pedicellatum* were disappeared around water point and grazing sites but represented high with frequency in un-grazed site as indicated in table (2). These may be due to extensive grazing that influence the plants species diversity association among plants species through changing in habitat conditions and may be attributed to differences response of species population. Although plant cover has been shown in many studies to be sensitive to the increased grazing pressures near water points, simple trends are often obscured by interactions among palatable and unpalatable species of forbs and shrubs. (Lanta, 2009). The high grazing pressure results in a decline in the number of forbs species, a reduction in abundance of the remaining species and dominance by a few grass species see plate (1). Moreover, the species close to the water point, with substantial disruption to the soil surface, and unpalatable species dominated see blade (2), the plants diversity in grazing site of study area were decreased, these areas has increased in grass species only as in plate (3), by result of grazing pressure. But in un-grazed sites the palatable within both grass and forbs were more divers see plate (4) and (5)

**Table (2): variation of average palatable forbs Plant frequency per cent that observed at different range sites over two seasons when used quadrate methods**

<i>Botanical lateen name</i>	<b>Botanical type</b>	<b>R.W.P sites</b>	<b>Grazed sites</b>	<b>Un-grazed sites</b>
<i>Impomia sPP</i>	Forbs	0.7	0.7	50
<i>Asteraceae hyperhernia ofrun</i>	Forbs	0.0	0.0	6.25
<i>Demodium dichotomum</i>	Forbs	0.0	0.0	18.75
<i>Blepheris linoriifolia</i>	Forbs	0.0	1.4	0.0
<i>Sorghum purpureosercim</i>	grass	0.0	0.0	14.6
<i>Andropogon gayanyus</i>	grass	0.0	2.8	12.5
<i>buffalo grass</i>	grass	0.0	0.0	22.9
<i>Sesbania Arabic</i>	Forbs	0.0	0.0	6.25
<i>Waltheria indica</i>	Forbs	0.0	0.0	10.6
<i>Rhynchosia minima</i>	Forbs	0.0	0.0	20.8

RWP= a round water points

**Table (3): variation of average unpalatable forbs Plant frequency per cent that observed at different range sites over two seasons when used quadrat methods**

<i>Botanical lateen name</i>	Botanical type	R.W.P sites	Grazed sites	Un-grazed sites
<i>Cassia tura</i>	Forbs	9.6	2.4	0.0
<i>Oldenlandia herbacea</i>	Forbs	5.2	2	1.1
<i>Euphorbia hirtal</i>	Forbs	0.0	0.2	0.0
<i>Xanthium brosilicum</i>	Forbs	1	0.0	0.0
<i>Jasminum nitidum</i>	Forbs	0.0	0.2	0.0
<i>Acanthospermum hespidum</i>	Forbs	2.2	4.5	0.0
<i>Amaranthus grecans</i>	Forbs	0.7	0.2	0.0
<i>Marettia philaeana</i>	Forbs	4.8	0.2	0.0

RWP= a round water points



Plate (1)



Plate (2)

Plate (1) the high grazing pressure results in a decline in the number of forbs species, a reduction in abundance of the remaining species and dominance by a few grass species. Plate (2) shows the unpalatable forbs species around water point of study area, all palatable plants were consumed just unpalatable plants like *cassia tura*, and *canthospermum hespidum* are leaved.



Plate (3) the poor plants diversity in grazing site of study area, these areas has increased in grass species and forbs plants species were very rare, by result of grazing.



Plate (4 and 5) the plants density in un-grazed sites of study area, this area is healthy and more plants number per meter square and more plants diversity were found.

#### **4. Conclusion**

The study concluded that, the a high grazing pressure have negative impacts on rangeland in term of plants species composition and plans diversity, also the study found that the high grazing pressure results in decline the forbs species such as *Blepharis linariifolia*, *Asteraceae hyperhernia ofrun*, *Chloris gyana*, *Sorghum rpureosercim*, *Demodium dichotomum*, *Asteraceae hyperhernia ofrun* and *Impomea Spp*, in both around water points and grazed sites. And the unpalatable forbs species such as *Canthiums brazilicum*, *Cassia tura*, *Oldenlandia herbacea*,



*Euphorbia hirtal*, and *Acanthospermum hespidum* have dominated in area which subjected to high grazing pressure, around water points in particular.

## Reference

**Belsky, A. J., Matzke, A. and Uselman, S (1999)** Survey of livestock influences on stream and riparian ecosystems in the western United States. *Journal of soil and water Conservation* 54:419-431.

Emmett, ID 83617 (208) 398 – 7002 [ghyde@idahorange.org](mailto:ghyde@idahorange.org) www.idahorange.org

**Fashir, G. A. (2008).** Integrated Rangeland management for Sustainable agriculture and animal production .Case study (Kadugli Locality), a dissertation Submitted in partial Fulfillment of Requirement M.Sc. Degree in Range Science, Sudan University of Science and Technology.

**Holechek.J.L, (2004) Range Management:** Principles and Practices, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces Rex D. Pieper, New Mexico...

**Howery, D.L. and Sprinkle.E.J ( 2006)** A summary of Livestock Grazing Systems Used on Rangelands in the Western United States and Canada, Cooperative Extension, College of Agriculture & Life Sciences, The University of Arizona.

**IFAD, (2006),** Technical report about meteorological situations in South Kordofan state, south Kordofan office - Kadogli

**Jackson, R. D., and Bartolome, J.w. ( 2007).** Grazing Ecology of California Grasslands In: M. R. Stromberg, J.D. Corbin and C. M. De Antonio(EDS). *California Grasslands: Ecology and Management*. Berkeley, California: University of California Press. P. 197 – 206.

**Jaddalla, J. B. (1994)** The Effects of Supplementation of Dry Season razing with Groundnut Haulms on Nutrients Utilization and Sheep rformance,M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Animal Production, University of Khartoum

**James D. M. S, Gunnar A., and Atle M, (2014)** The response of plant diversity to grazing varies along an elevation gradient, Museum of Natural History and Archaeology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, NO-7491 Trondheim, Norway; and Centre for Ecological and Evolutionary Synthesis (CEES), Department of Biosciences University of Oslo, NO-0316 Oslo, Norway

**Kamau, P. (2004)** Forage Diversity and Impact of Grazing Management on Rangeland Ecosystems in Mbeere district, Kenya, National Museum of Kenya, P.O.Box. 4566, Nairobi, Kenya, paper no 36.

**Landsberg, J, D. James, D, C. Stephen M, R. Trevor, H,J. Stol ,J. Drew, A and Tongway, H. (1997)** The effects of artificial sources of water on rangeland biodiversity, CSIRO Division of Wildlife and Ecology  
Biodiversity Convention and Strategy Section of the Biodiversity Group, Environment Australia, January 1997,ISBN 0 6422 7010 4

**Lanta, V., (2009)** Impacts of grazing on range plants, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, ([linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1146609X07000446](http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1146609X07000446)) Home page, 2009

**Nefzaoui, A, ( 2004)** Rangeland improvement and management options in the arid environment of Central and South Tunisia. In Ben Salem H. (ed.), Nefzaoui A. (ed.), Morand-Fehr P. (ed.)  
.Nutrition and feeding strategies of sheep and goats under harsh climates = Stratégies de nutritionet d'alimentation des ovins et caprins en climats rigoureux . Zaragoza

**Parker,K.W. and Harris, R.W.(1959).** The 3-step method for measuring condition and trend of forest range: a resume of it is history, development and use. In: techniques and methods of range measurement

**Rangeland Center University of Idaho, (2011)** based educational resources about rangeland ecology and management. Many people are credited with writing, editing, and developing this chapter including: Lovina Roselle, Karen Launchbaugh, Tess Jones, Ling Babcock, Richard Ambrosek, Andrea Stebleton, Tracy Brewer, Ken Sanders, Jodie Mink, Jenifer Haleyand Gretchen Hyde. P.O. Box 441135Moscow, ID 83844(208) 885 – 6536 [range@uidaho.edu](mailto:range@uidaho.edu)

**SKRDP- NKRDP, (2002).** South Kordofan Range Management Strategy Study and Khor Abu Habil Basin planning and Water Development Study. Sudan Ministry of Agriculture and forestry, Central Coordination Unit for IFAD Project SKRDP- NKRDP.

**Wlim, H.G. Costello,D.F. and Kipple,G.E,(1944),** Estimating Forage by double – sampling method, J. Amer. Soc. Agron.36:194-203

[www.uidaho.edu/range](http://www.uidaho.edu/range) Idaho Rangeland Resource Commission P. O. Box 126

**Zaroug, G.M. (2006)** Country Pasture/Forage Resource Profiles, P.O. Box